### **Respondent Name**

Rebecca Roadman

### **Complainant Name**

James Robert Morrison Harris

### **Complaint Description**

Jimmy Harris reported via the portal

(Thu, 23 Oct 2025 at 9:53 AM)

I am a candidate for Hood Canal School Board. I submit this complaint solely to request a factual review of multiple possible disclosure violations involving candidate Rebecca Roadman and a group identified on her campaign signage as "Supporting Friends of Rebecca Roadman."

Between late September and mid-October, dozens of two-sided, two-color "Rebecca Roadman" campaign yard signs (as well as larger signs) appeared throughout the Hood Canal School District, including in Hoodsport, Lake Cushman, Union, and Skokomish areas. Each sign includes a sponsor line that reads:

"Paid for by Supporting Friends of Rebecca Roadman, PO Box 795, Hoodsport, WA."

The Mason County Voters' Pamphlet lists Ms. Roadman's campaign contact address as **PO Box 795**, **Hoodsport**, **WA**, which is the same address used by the sponsor group on the signs.

As of several days after the October 14, 2025, mini-reporting deadline, the PDC website shows the following:

- Ms. Roadman's campaign reports \$0 expenditures.
- No political committee named "Supporting Friends of Rebecca Roadman" is registered.
- No independent expenditure filings mention her or the sponsor group.

Based on these facts and the high volume of signage over a wide geographic area, some or all of the following scenarios may apply:

- 1. The candidate's committee purchased the signs but did not report the expenditures, in potential violation of **RCW 42.17A.235** and **RCW 42.17A.240**; or
- A separate entity, "Supporting Friends of Rebecca Roadman," purchased or produced the signs but failed to register and report as required by RCW 42.17A.205 and RCW 42.17A.235/.240, or to file independent expenditure reports required by RCW 42.17A.255 if the spending was independent; or
- 3. The campaign selected mini-reporting but exceeded mini-reporting limits and failed to switch to full reporting prior to exceeding those thresholds, contrary to **WAC 390-16-105** and **WAC 390-16-125**.

The sponsor line itself appears to comply with **RCW 42.17A.320**'s requirement to identify the sponsor, but the shared PO Box suggests coordination between the candidate and the listed sponsor.

If coordination exists, the signage costs are candidate expenditures and should be reported accordingly.

If no coordination exists, then the sponsoring entity must be registered and filing its own reports.

**Additionally**, both the candidate's campaign and the sponsor group use the same PO Box (795, Hoodsport, WA). The cost of maintaining that PO Box, if used for campaign correspondence, constitutes a reportable campaign expense or in-kind contribution under **RCW 42.17A.235** and **RCW 42.17A.240**, yet no such expense appears in the campaign's filings.

Similarly, if "Supporting Friends of Rebecca Roadman" purchased or produced campaign materials in coordination with the candidate, the value of those goods or services would be considered in-kind contributions that must be reported by both the candidate and the sponsoring entity.

I am not alleging bad intent. I am simply requesting that PDC staff review the facts to determine which reporting requirements apply and whether they have been met. I will fully cooperate with any other requests for information.

**Personal Disclosure:** I am Ms. Roadman's opponent in this election, but I am submitting this complaint solely to ensure compliance and transparency under PDC rules. She is a nice person, and we live in a rural area, and I do not intend to use or publicize any of this matter as part of my campaign whatsoever.

### What impact does the alleged violation(s) have on the public?

The public has a right to know who is funding local campaigns and how money is being spent. When visible campaign activity occurs without corresponding reports, voters lose confidence that all candidates are following the same rules. In a small rural community like ours, where voters rely heavily on word-of-mouth and signage, this lack of disclosure creates confusion. Several residents have already asked me who is funding Ms. Roadman's campaign. Timely and accurate reporting is essential to maintain fairness and public trust in the election process.

### List of attached evidence or contact information where evidence may be found

### Attachments:

Photos of signs showing the disclosure, screenshots of the PDC database showing no committee or expenditure filings, and the voter pamphlet listing showing the shared PO Box. Signs are currently up all throughout the Hood Canal School District, and the PDC's website is the source being referenced for reporting amounts.

### List of potential witnesses with contact information to reach them

Can be made available upon request.

### **Certification (Complainant)**

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that information provided with this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

# making schools safe and strong

HOOD CANAL SCHOOL BOARD





# Director Position No. 4 | 4-Year Term



Rebecca Roadman
Contact
(360) 490-2120
rroadman1212@gmail.com
PO Box 795
Hoodsport, WA 98548

**Elected Experience** 

Rebecca is a lifelong Mason County resident with over 32 years of experience living, working, and raising a family in the region. Her professional background in Social Work, Education, and Tribal services brings a well-rounded and culturally competent perspective to school board leadership She is a proud mother of three, with a firsthand understanding of the needs of students, families, and educators across all stages of development.

## Other Professional Experience

Shelton School District Special Services 1997-2007
Skokomish Tribe 2007-2012 Education
Washington State Department of Social & Health Services
2013-2021

Lewis-Mason-Thurston Area Agency on Aging 2021

