Respondent Name

Richard (Rick) Ryan

Complainant Name

Sarah Junkin-Clark

Complaint Description

Snaclark reported via the portal

(Thu, 11 Sep 2025 at 10:58 AM)

The "paid for" disclosure sticker seems very small, not meeting 10% rule and very hard to read. When looking up how old the signs are (ie when they were purchased), I find NO campaign expenditures at all (for anything!!!) for the past 5 election cycles. So--- how/when were the signs purchased?? In addition, now there are the additional "endorsed by police" stickers added. Which police? the Chief? the department? a police guild? the sheriff? Again- this seems misleading and not sure it is allowed?

What impact does the alleged violation(s) have on the public?

Potentially misleading, and all candidates are supposed to follow the same sign and reporting rules to keep things fair.

List of attached evidence or contact information where evidence may be found

photos (2) of current signs attached

List of potential witnesses with contact information to reach them

Certification (Complainant)

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that information provided with this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



