Respondent Name
Washington Education Association PAC
Complainant Name
Glen Morgan
Complaint Description

Glen Morgan reported via the portal
(Sun, 17 Sep 2023 at 6:06 PM)

To whom it may concern (and it should concern us all),

It has recently come to my attention that the Washington Education Association PAC, once again, consistent with past behavior has decided to willfully violate Washington State's campaign finance laws (**RCW 42.17A**). The details are as follows:

1) Willful effort to conceal legal expenditures from financial disclosure (Violation of RCW 42.17A.235, RCW 42.17A.240)

I was reading with interest the WEA PAC's attorney drafted response to one of the recent complaints I had filed against this Multi-Million dollar shady, dark money, MEGA PAC (See **PDC Enforcement Case #139384**) and I was impressed with the exhaustive length and detail provided in this 4 page response (**See attached letter dated August 29, 2023, from law firm Barnard, Iglitzen, and Lavitt, LLC, attached for staff reference**). Frankly, I couldn't have written this letter better myself, and I suspect this law firm spent far more time consulting, researching, preparing, drafting, editing, and finally sending this letter than my original complaint. While I am actually very sympathetic to the argument put forth by this highly paid law firm**, it is this very letter that confirms the undeniable fact that the WEA PAC just can't help itself and lawbreaking is just a way of life for this dark money entity.**

As staff can verify for themselves, the WEA PAC is attempting to conceal the truth from the voters, once again, by concealing the legal costs they are expending (or the obligations they are incurring, or even the pro bono in-kind contribution of legal costs they might be getting) by hiring this law firm to represent them in their time of troubles attempting to avoid compliance with Washington State's campaign finance laws. If any citizen or voter or anyone else were to look at the most recent C4 (expenditure report) filed by this PAC on September 11, 2023 (**See PDC Report #110172544, attached for staff reference**), there is clearly no report of the legal costs incurred, the contractual obligations approved, the in-kind value (if it is pro-bono) or any other legally obligated reporting information as so clearly explained by law in **RCW 42.17A.235** and **RCW 42.17A.240**.

In an effort to be generous to the WEA PAC staff, I even waited a week to see if they would correct this legally deficient document, but alas, they have decided to deceive the public and attempt to conceal the truth once again. I could wait no longer, hence this complaint I am drafting up and filing this Sunday evening.

As staff is well aware, this group has an **unfortunate habit and culture of lawbreaking,** and based on not just the enforcement case referenced above, but also the second active enforcement case initiated by the PDC based on additional complaints I have filed about exceptionally late and deceptive expenditure and contribution reports (**See Enforcement Case #141529**), it is my true concern that these investigations are really only scratching the surface of the lawbreaking going on behind closed doors and in the shadows of this MEGA PAC.

Part of what makes this lawbreaking so absurd is the fact that they have millions of dollars in the bank, with massive cash flows rolling in every month. There may be no PAC in State history that has access to so much cash over time, yet **they still choose to break the law in such a sloppy and unprofessional manner** that even I – a rural free range chicken farmer in south Thurston County can expose the truth with just a few

minutes of research. This group could afford to hire the most professional team of compliance people, real professional treasurers, and others to at least hide their lawbreaking a bit better than this. However, their arrogance just convinces them to violate the law in such a sloppy and obvious way that it is just thrown in our face, and I have no choice but to file a complaint against them so that they might be encouraged to comply.

I still maintain and wish to emphasize my original suggestion to PDC staff that **you strongly consider filing subpoenas on the bank records associated with this PAC over the past few years to verify or determine if anything they are reporting is even remotely accurate or current at this time.** There may be no other practical path you can take to resolve this case in a timely manner.

Nipping Rumors in the bud – before they spread

I also have heard through the grapevine that some desperate people are spreading rumors that I may be getting finders fees or other monetary compensation from the lawfirm Barnard, Iglitzen, and Lavitt because my complaints have generated so much new and returning client business for their firm recently and in past years.

Let me state for total clarity and on the record that these rumors have no basis in fact. I suspect that this lawfirm would also confirm this isn't true, but obviously I can't speak on their behalf. Nobody at that firm has ever compensated me for any new business they may have received based on my civic duty to expose the truth about prolific campaign finance violators in this state. In fact, nobody at that firm has even made contact with me any time recently or in years past to encourage me to file complaints against their clients or prospective clients as part of a scheme to drum up new or additional business. Frankly, this sounds like a lazy rumor to me and I suspect that any serious lawfirm or attorney would be fully aware how unethical such behavior would be.

Anyway, in the meantime, I hope the PDC is able to take the attached evidence I have provided in this complaint and can add this to the growing list of expanding evidence of a pattern of lawbreaking behavior with the WEA PAC, which continues even after they have hired legal counsel to represent themselves in this matter.

Please let me know if you need anything else on this one.

Best Regards,

Glen Morgan

What impact does the alleged violation(s) have on the public?

The public has a right to know what a Dark Money Secretive PAC like this one spends on legal costs in an effort to avoid complying with the law. Even MEGA PACs like this one need to follow the law. They have more than ample resources to comply, yet they choose to violate it every time. Why? We may never know, but the public has a right to know regardless.

List of attached evidence or contact information where evidence may be found

Very clear in the complaint and attached documents

List of potential witnesses with contact information to reach them

Every single member of this PAC committee, the treasurer, and probably a long conversation with legal counsel as well. What the heck are these guys doing?

Certification (Complainant)

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that information provided with this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

BARNARD IGLITZIN & LAVITT LLP

18 West Mercer Street, Suite 400
Seattle, WA 98119
TEL (800) 238.4231
FAX (206) 378.4132

ABBY LAWLOR
Associate Attorney
DIR (206) 644-6002
lawlor@workerlaw.com

August 29, 2023

Phil Stutzman
Compliance Office
Washington Public Disclosure Commission
711 Capitol Way S. #206
P.O. Box 40908
Olympia, WA 98504-0908

Re: PDC Case No. 139384
BIL No.: 3450*008-001

Dear Mr. Stutzman,

We are writing on behalf of Washington Education Association (WEA) PAC to respond to the allegations raised by Glen Morgan in his June 15 complaint. The complaint, one of over 40 filed by Mr. Morgan this year alone, alleges that WEA PAC has been in violation of RCW 42.17A.235 and .240 from 2018 to 2023 by failing to disclose complete descriptions of in-kind contributions. As there is no basis in the Fair Campaign Practices Act (FCPA) for the level of disclosure Mr. Morgan is seeking and WEA PAC's reporting has consistently gone above and beyond what is required, we ask that the PDC promptly dismiss this case as unfounded or frivolous.

Background

WEA PAC first registered with the PDC in 2004 and has routinely reported to the PDC since then. The PAC is governed by a 30+ member board of directors and is funded by small-dollar donations from WEA members (Washington public educators) and staff and from WEA itself. The PAC also receives significant in-kind support from WEA, mostly in the form of staff time, office space, supplies, and other overhead. WEA PAC has diligently reported these shared expenses on its C-4 reports along with any other expenses incurred by WEA on behalf of the PAC. Throughout WEA PAC's reporting, WEA's identity as the source of virtually all the PAC's in-kind contributions has been repeatedly disclosed. Moreover, WEA PAC has taken care to individually report in-kind contributions from WEA broken out both by reporting period and by the nature of the contribution.

In a June 15 letter to the PDC, serial complainant Glen Morgan alleged that WEA PAC has been in violation of RCW 42.17A.240 by failing to adequately report information related to certain in-kind contributions. To support his allegations, Mr. Morgan cited not to the language of the FCPA or accompanying administrative regulations in WAC Title 390, but to language on the PDC's website. Mr. Morgan's complaint was accompanied by a list of 457 in-kind entries from past WEA PAC C-4 reporting

from June 30, 2018 through May 31, 2023 that he claimed run counter to the PDC's online guidance. In response to the PDC's prompt asking the complainant to describe the impact of the alleged violations on the public, Mr. Morgan stated that "[t]he public has a right to know the truth about the real source of in-kind contributions."

On July 7, you contacted WEA PAC to inform it of Mr. Morgan's complaint. In your email, you noted:

> In reviewing the reports linked in the spreadsheets provided by the Complainant, it appears there are some instances where addresses of vendors are not disclosed in the description field for in-kind contributions, and in some cases, the name of the vendors paid are not disclosed. In our guidance, staff encourages more complete disclosure of vendor addresses in the description field for in-kind contributions even if not strictly required.

Your email also noted alleged violations of both RCW 42.17A.235 and .240.

Authority and Argument

I. Language on the PDC Website Is Not Binding and WEA PAC Is Not Required to Provide Vendor Addresses Under the FCPA.

The PDC's online guidance to candidates and committees cannot serve as standalone grounds for a finding of violation. This is a fact that WEA knows all too well: in 2001, WEA filed suit to challenge PDC guidelines that unduly restricted the free speech and associational rights of its members. In defense, the Commission argued that "the guidelines are the agency's opinion only and cannot be violated or enforced." *Wash. Educ. Ass'n v. Wash. St. Pub. Disclosure Comm'n*, 150 Wn. 2d 612, 614 (2003) (en banc). After WEA prevailed at the trial court level, the Washington Supreme Court dismissed the suit as seeking a purely advisory opinion because "the guidelines have no legal or regulatory effect and implicate no one's legal interests." *Id.* at 615.

The PDC's authority to adopt rules implementing the FCPA is governed by the state Administrative Procedures Act (APA). RCW 42.17A.110(1). Commission rules that have undergone rulemaking proceedings under the APA and declaratory orders issued pursuant to WAC 390-12-350 can be grounds for a finding of violation subjecting a person to a penalty or administrative sanction. *Wash. Educ. Ass'n*, 150 Wn. 2d at 619. However, any less formal statements of policy by the PDC cannot. In *Washington Education Association*, the guidelines at issue took the form of an interpretive statement issued by the PDC that had been further revised through a stakeholder process and public comment period.[1] *Id.* at 616. Agencies are encouraged under state law to adopt such statements in order "to advise the public of [their] current opinions, approaches, and likely courses of action." RCW 34.05.230(1). But they carry the force of law only after being subjected to rule-making proceedings under the APA and adoption as formal agency rules; otherwise, they are "advisory only." *Id.*

[1] Interpretive statements, as defined in the APA, are "a written expression of the opinion of an agency, as to the meaning of a statute or other provision of law, a court decision, or an agency order." RCW 34.05.010(8).

Here, there is no indication that the PDC website language Mr. Morgan cites even rises to the level of an interpretive statement. The PDC's In-Kind Contributions page instructs that for Part 1 of Schedule B to the C-4: "if the in-kind contribution is a good or service purchased from a vendor, include the name and address of the vendor."[2] But no similar instruction can be found in any of the Commission Interpretations, Declaratory Orders, or other General guidance catalogued on the PDC website.[3] Moreover, recent observation of the In-Kind Contributions page revealed that its language was updated multiple times in a single day on July 26, at one point reading "include the name and, preferably, the address of the vendor." This type of ad-hoc updating is far cry from the formal rulemaking procedures of the APA. In your email to WEA PAC alerting it to this complaint, you described reporting addresses for vendors involved in in-kind contributions as "not strictly required." And tellingly, Mr. Morgan's own in-kind contributions to committees have not been reported with this level of detail.[4]

More importantly, the PDC has not identified any language in the FCPA or accompanying regulations that could arguably serve as a basis for the requirement Mr. Morgan would see imposed (on others, if not himself). Nor can WEA PAC identify any such language. The PDC's rules provide that in-kind contributions must be reported on C-4 reports and indicate that in-kind contributions fall within the larger definition of "contribution" in RCW 42.17A.005. WAC 390-16-207(1). Thus, a plain reading of the FCPA suggests that C-4 reporting for in-kind contributions requires the same disclosures as contributions generally: the name and address of the contributor and, if the person has made contributions in the aggregate amount of more than $250, their occupation and the name and location (city and state) of their employer. RCW 42.17A.240(2); WAC 390-16-034. A greater degree of reporting may be desirable from a public policy perspective—indeed, WEA PAC routinely provides a greater degree of reporting by listing the names of vendors used for in-kind goods or services and providing a description of those goods or services in the interest of public transparency. But there is currently no clear requirement for such disclosure in Washington law.

II. WEA PAC Has Reported Vendor Information and Alleged Omissions Largely Involve Donations by the WEA Directly that Do Not Involve a Third Party Subvendor.

WEA PAC has consistently reported the name of vendors used by WEA to provide in-kind goods and services to the committee. To the extent such information appears in the eyes of Mr. Morgan to have been omitted, it is because the in-kind contributions at issue typically do not involve vendor transactions that WEA entered into primarily for purposes of WEA PAC.

As discussed above, neither state law nor PDC rules clearly require candidates or committees to report information about vendors paid by in-kind contributors. However, WEA PAC has and will continue to report the names of WEA vendors and a brief description of the goods and services furnished to the

[2] https://www.pdc.wa.gov/registration-reporting/candidates-committees/contributions/kind-contributions.
[3] https://www.pdc.wa.gov/rules-enforcement/guidelines-restrictions.
[4] *See* Let's Go Washington C-4 report no. 110097944 filed 7/11/2022 (reporting the in-kind contribution of a U-Haul rental by Glen Morgan on 06/03/2022 without disclosing a vendor name or address); Real Progressives in Thurston County C-4 report no. 100866577 filed 10/16/2018 (reporting the in-kind contribution of a mailbox rental by Glen Morgan on 10/10/2018 without disclosing a vendor name or address).

committee. Where WEA PAC has not provided additional detail, it is typically because the contributions from WEA consist of goods and services paid for and used by the Union in its ordinary course of business.

For example, a substantial number of the contributions listed in Mr. Morgan's complaint are reported as meeting expenses and overhead. These contributions involve the use of WEA office space and other expenses associated with the use of shared staff, such as payments for release time, meal reimbursements, mileage, and other expenses processed through the WEA's existing staffing infrastructure. No new vendors were secured by WEA in order to provide these contributions, and they represent an aggregate share of numerous purchases from numerous sources. It is WEA PAC's understanding, confirmed by PDC staff, that WEA PAC is not required to report vendors used by WEA in its ordinary course of business. Similarly, WEA staff regularly provide employee services to the PAC. These services have been reported and the names of WEA staff members who work most frequently with the PAC have even been disclosed.[5] But no outside vendor has been reported because no new outside vendor was involved in the payment of WEA staff salaries and benefits.

CONCLUSION

WEA PAC's reporting has consistently exceeded the requirements of state law, and neither the PDC nor Mr. Morgan can identify grounds in the FCPA for requiring the level of disclosure called for in his complaint. Mr. Morgan has also failed to identify any public interest that would be served by further investigation or enforcement based on his allegations—there has never been any question that the in-kind contributions he is targeting originated with WEA. Any additional reporting beyond the significant degree of detail already routinely provided by WEA PAC, particularly as it pertains to vendors regularly used by WEA, would be both time consuming and costly for the PAC to provide with no identifiable benefits for public transparency. Therefore, we ask that the PDC promptly dismiss this case as unfounded or frivolous.

Please contact us with any questions or concerns at (206) 644-6002.

Sincerely,



Abby Lawlor
Danielle Franco-Malone
Counsel for Washington Education Association

[5] The WEA PAC is also unaware of any basis in state law for requiring it to report individual staff names, though it has chosen to do so in certain instances in the interest of transparency.

711 Capitol Way Suite 206
PO Box 40908 Olympia, WA 98504-0908
(360) 753-1111
Toll Free 1-800-601-2828

SUMMARY, FULL REPORT RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

C4

WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE

PO BOX 9100
FEDERAL WAY, WA

Coverage: 07/25/2023 to 08/31/2023
Final report: No
Report number: 110172544
Reporting year: 2023
Date submitted: 09/11/2023

RECEIPTS

1. Previous total cash and in-kind contributions (last C4 line 8).		$2,375,963.24
2. Cash received (Schedule A line 1).	$167,449.62	
3. In-kind contributions received (Line 1 schedule B).	$52,709.33	
4. Total cash and in-kind contributions received this period (Lines 2 + 3).		$220,158.95
5. Loan principal repayments made (Line 2 schedule L).	$0.00	
6. Corrections (Lines 1 or 3 schedule C).	($4.50)	
7. Net adjustments this period (Lines 5 + 6).		($4.50)
8. Total cash and in-kind contributions during campaign (Lines 1 + 4 + 7).		$2,596,117.69
9. Total pledge payments due (Line 2 schedule B).	$0.00	

EXPENDITURES

10. Previous total cash and in-kind expenditures (Last C-4 line 17).		$573,922.76
11. Cash expenditures (Line 3 schedule A).	$16,643.67	
12. In-kind expenditures (Line 1 schedule B).	$52,709.33	
13. Total cash and in-kind expenditures made this period (Lines 11 + 12).		$69,353.00
14. Loan principal repayment made (Line 2 schedule L).	$0.00	
15. Corrections (Lines 2 + 3 schedule C).	($4.50)	
16. Net adjustments this period (Lines 14 + 15).		($4.50)
17. Total cash and in-kind expenditures during campaign (Lines 10 + 13 + 16).		$643,271.26

CASH SUMMARY

18. Cash on hand (Lines 8 - 17) Line 18 should equal your bank account balance(s) plus your petty cash balance.	$1,952,846.43
19. Liabilities: (Sum of loans and debts owed)	$0.00
20. Balance (Lines 18 - 19)	$1,952,846.43

I certify this report is true and complete to the best of my knowledge
Treasurer's name and date
AIMEE S. IVERSON - 09/11/2023

Schedule A: Cash Receipts and Expenditure Detail

1. CONTRIBUTIONS AND OTHER CASH RECEIPTS REPORTED ON THE C3:

Deposit date	Amount	Deposit date	Amount	Deposit date	Amount
07/27/2023	$68,295.21	08/08/2023	$11.00	08/25/2023	$75.00
08/31/2023	$2,836.34	08/14/2023	$27,669.96	08/29/2023	$62,814.21
07/31/2023	$2,549.56	08/21/2023	$20.00		
08/07/2023	$1,319.43	08/22/2023	$1,858.91		

2. TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS (LINE 2 OF C4): $167,449.62

3. EXPENDITURES (CASH AND CREDIT TRANSACTION DETAIL):

Date paid	Vendor or recipient (Name and address)	Purpose of expense and/or description	Cash
N/A	Expenses	Non-itemized expenditures	$0.00
07/26/2023	TONI JEFFERSON 2507 MACKENZIE ROAD , BELLINGHAM, WA 98226	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
07/27/2023	NICHOLE S FLYNN 9919 COOKE CT , GRANITE FALLS, WA 98252-8776	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: 7-27 Payroll Deduction Credit Taken by School District	$2.25
07/28/2023	FRIENDS OF KRISTINA WALKER P.O. BOX 789 , TACOMA, WA 98401	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
07/28/2023	PEOPLE FOR ELIZABETH BONBRIGHT PO BOX 7437 , TACOMA, WA 98417	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
07/28/2023	ELECT LYNN GOEBEL OAK HARBOR SCHOOL BOARD 1574 SENIC HEIGHTS , OAK HARBOR, WA 98277	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
07/28/2023	AISHA MAYFIELD 1531 SW ROBERTSON DRIVE , OAK HARBOR, WA 98277	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
07/28/2023	NICOLE TESCH FOR OAK HARBOR SCHOOL BOARD DIRECTOR 4 847 SW FAIRHAVEN DRIVE , OAK HARBOR, WA 98277	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
08/02/2023	BANK OF AMERICA 32011 PACIFIC HWY. SOUTH , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98003	Utilities, phone, and other overhead costs: BANK CREDIT CARD PROCESSING CHGS-SALSA/WEB	$98.82
08/02/2023	BANK OF AMERICA 32011 PACIFIC HWY. SOUTH , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98003	Utilities, phone, and other overhead costs: BANK CREDIT CARD PROCESSING CHGS-PARAGON	$31.43
			$16,643.67

Date paid	Vendor or recipient (Name and address)	Purpose of expense and/or description	Cash
08/15/2023	BANK OF AMERICA 32011 PACIFIC HWY. SOUTH , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98003	Utilities, phone, and other overhead costs: BANK ANALYSIS FEE	$508.92
08/17/2023	MALIA ELIZABETH HOLLOWELL PO BOX 1317 , MAPLE VALLEY, WA 98038	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
08/17/2023	PETE MILLER FOR TAHOMA SCHOOL BOARD 26828 MAPLE VALLEY HWY # 163 , MAPLE VALLEY, WA 98038	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
08/17/2023	MEET HAN TRAN PO BOX 111 , BOTHELL, WA 98041	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/17/2023	THE COMMITTEE TO ELEVATE COMMUNITY VOICE PO BOX 3297 , SILVERDALE, WA 98383	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/17/2023	DAVID FORD CSD AT LARGE #4 1054 NE SUMMIT LOOP , COUPEVILLE, WA 98239	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
08/17/2023	LEANN LEAVITT 2672 FOREST LN COUP , COUPEVILLE, WA 98239	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$500.00
08/17/2023	KRISTIANA FOR BLACK DIAMOND PO BOX 45 , BLACK DIAMOND, WA 98010	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/25/2023	CYDNEY MOORE PO BOX 48311 , BURIEN, WA 98148	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/25/2023	COMMITTEE TO ELECT CHELSIE BECK PO BOX 1612 , RICHLAND, WA 99352	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/25/2023	COMMITTEE TO ELECT ALAYNA FOR WWPS 828 S 1ST AVE , WALLA WALLA, WA 99362	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/25/2023	CARLOS RUIZ FOR HL DISTRICT 4 411 SW 186TH ST , NORMANDY PARK, WA 98166	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/25/2023	ELIZABETH 4 SEATAC 18119 43RD AVE S , SEATAC, WA 98188	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: CONTRIBUTION	$1,200.00
08/29/2023	SUSAN D KING	Monetary contributions to PAC or candidate: 8-29 Payroll Deduction Credit Taken by School	$2.25
			$16,643.67

Date paid	Vendor or recipient (Name and address)	Purpose of expense and/or description	Cash
	18062 25TH AVE NE , LAKE FOREST PARK, WA 98155-3906	District	
			$16,643.67

4. TOTAL EXPENDITURES: $16,643.67

Schedule B: In-kind Contributions, Pledges, Orders, Debts and Obligations

1. IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVED:

Date Received	Contributor	Employer/Occupation	Description	Amount
08/02/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		PAYPAL CARD PROCESSING FEE	Fair market value: $76.95 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		EMPLOYEE SERVICES	Fair market value: $13,690.56 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		OVERHEAD - Gena H. Mansell	Fair market value: $23,976.43 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		OVERHEAD - Karen Burrows	Fair market value: $11,039.54 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		ONLINE SERVICES-Zoom Srvcs	Fair market value: $17.61 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		MEETING EXPENSES	Fair market value: $997.83 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100		SUPPLY EXPENSES	Fair market value: $1,410.41 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06
08/31/2023	WASHINGTON EDUCATION ASSOCIATION		Indep Consulting Services - Hopkins + Saks WEA-PAC Video	Fair market value: $1,500.00 Aggregate total (N): $589,365.06

Date Received	Contributor	Employer/Occupation	Description	Amount
	P.O. BOX 9100 , FEDERAL WAY, WA 98063-9100			

2. PLEDGES RECEIVED BUT NOT YET PAID:

No pledges reported this period

3. ORDERS PLACED, DEBTS AND OBLIGATIONS:

No debt reported this period

Schedule C: Corrections

3. CONTRIBUTIONS AND RECEIPTS

Date Reported	Contributor	Description	Amount Reported	Corrected Amount	Difference
07/27/2023	NICHOLE S FLYNN 9919 COOKE CT , GRANITE FALLS, WA 98252-8776	7-27 Payroll Deduction Credit Taken by School District	$2.25	$0.00	($2.25)
08/29/2023	SUSAN D KING 18062 25TH AVE NE , LAKE FOREST PARK, WA 98155-3906	8-29 Payroll Deduction Credit Taken by School District	$2.25	$0.00	($2.25)

2. EXPENDITURES

Date of Report	Vendor	Description	Amount Reported	Corrected Amount	Difference
07/27/2023	NICHOLE S FLYNN 9919 COOKE CT , GRANITE FALLS, WA 98252-8776	7-27 Payroll Deduction Credit Taken by School District	$2.25	$0.00	($2.25)
08/29/2023	SUSAN D KING 18062 25TH AVE NE , LAKE FOREST PARK, WA 98155-3906	8-29 Payroll Deduction Credit Taken by School District	$2.25	$0.00	($2.25)

3. REFUNDS FROM VENDORS

No Refunds to vendors reported this period