

# State of Washington PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm. 206, PO Box 40908 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 (360) 753-1111 • FAX (360) 753-1112

Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 • E-mail: pdc@pdc.wa.gov • Website: www.pdc.wa.gov

January 11, 2021

Mark Christie 37145 5<sup>th</sup> Ave SW Federal Way WA 98023

Subject: PDC Order, Case 80157

Dear Mark Christie,

Enclosed is a copy of the Public Disclosure Commission's Order that was entered in the above-referenced case. At the December 17, 2020, Brief Enforcement hearing, the Presiding Officer did not assess a penalty.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. If you have questions, please contact us by email at <a href="mailto:pdc.wa.gov">pdc.wa.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Electronically Signed by Tabitha Townsend
PDC Staff

Enclosure: Initial Order



# State of Washington PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm. 206, PO Box 40908 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 (360) 753-1111 • FAX (360) 753-1112

Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 • E-mail: pdc@pdc.wa.gov • Website: www.pdc.wa.gov

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

In Re: Compliance with RCW 42.17A

PDC Case 80157

MARK CHRISTIE,

Findings of Fact,

Respondent

Conclusions of Law, and

Order

Pursuant to the brief enforcement hearing (brief adjudicative proceeding) notice sent to Mark Christie on November 17, 2020, a brief adjudicative proceeding was held on December 17, 2020, remotely from Olympia, WA by live audio and online transmission, to consider whether the Respondent violated RCW 42.17A.700 by failing to timely file as a candidate, for the 2020 election cycle. Personal Financial Affairs Statement (F-1) disclosing personal financial information for the prior twelve (12) months was due to be filed within two weeks of becoming a candidate or not later than June 1, 2020.

The hearing was held in accordance with Chapters 34.05 RCW, 42.17A RCW and Chapter 390-37 WAC. Commission Chair, David Ammons, was the Presiding Officer. The Commission staff was represented by Tabitha Townsend, Compliance Coordinator. The Respondent participated in the hearing by telephone.

Having considered the evidence, the Presiding Officer finds as follows:

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. The Respondent was a candidate for State Senator of Legislative District 30 Senate.
- 2. As a candidate for election, the Respondent was required to file a F-1 report by June 1, 2020.
- 3. The Respondent did not file the F-1 report by the date of the enforcement hearing.
- 4. The Respondent has no prior violations.
- 5. The Respondent testified that he misunderstood the election cycle for the position he was seeking and did not intend to run until 2022 when the position was actually on the ballot.

Finding, Conclusions & Order Mark Christie PDC Case 80157 Page - 2 –

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Based on the above facts, as a matter of law, the Presiding Officer concludes as follows:

- 1. This matter was duly and properly convened, and all jurisdictional, substantive, and procedural requirements have been satisfied.
- 2. The Respondent did not violate RCW 42.17A.700 by failing to timely file the F-1 report by June 1, 2020.

#### ORDER

On the basis of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law,

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no monetary civil penalty is assessed.

This is an **Initial Order** of the Public Disclosure Commission.

Entered this 11 day of January, 2021.

Public Disclosure Commission

Electronically Signed

Peter Lavallee Executive Director

> I, Tabitha Townsend, certify that I mailed and emailed a copy of this order to the Respondent at their respective address postage pre-paid, and by email on the date stated herein.

Electronically Signed Tabitha Townsend 1/11/21
Signed Date

Finding, Conclusions & Order Mark Christie PDC Case 80157 Page - 3 –

## APPEALS OF INITIAL ORDER

#### REVIEW OF INITIAL ORDER - BY THE COMMISSION

You may request that the full Commission review this initial order. To seek review, you must:

- Make the request orally or in writing, stating the reason for review, and identifying what alleged errors are contained in the initial order. *See* WAC 390-37-144(1). Staff may ask for written confirmation of oral requests for review.
- REQUESTS FOR REVIEW MUST BE <u>RECEIVED</u> BY THE COMMISSION WITHIN <u>TWENTY-ONE</u> (21) <u>CALENDAR DAYS</u> AFTER THE POSTMARK DATE OF THIS INITIAL ORDER. Written requests for review should be delivered electronically to the Washington State Public Disclosure Commission by email at <a href="mailto:pdc@pdc.wa.gov">pdc@pdc.wa.gov</a>

If review of this initial order is timely requested, the full Commission will hear the matter. If the Commission is unable to schedule a meeting to consider the request within twenty (20) calendar days, this initial order becomes a final order, and any request for review will automatically be considered a request for reconsideration of a final order. See WAC 390-37-144(4). The matter would then be scheduled for consideration and disposition at the next Commission meeting at which it is practicable to do so.

A Respondent does not need to pay a penalty until after the Commission rules on a request for review of an initial order.

#### FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS – SUPERIOR COURT

If the Commission does not receive a request for review of this initial order within twenty-one (21) calendar days, the initial order shall be the final order. *See* WAC 390-37-142(5).

YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO APPEAL A FINAL ORDER TO SUPERIOR COURT, PURSUANT TO THE PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW PROVISIONS OF RCW 34.05.552.