

Complaint Description

[Chan & Patti Bailey](#) (Wed, 2 Oct 2019 at 7:56 AM)

Below are copies of the letters and pictures of 1 out of 4 signs seen along the Newport Hwy (Hwy 2) on 09/30/2019.

What impact does the alleged violation(s) have on the public?

By failing to file as required the Mead Citizens Advisory Committee (MCAC) is keeping voters from knowing who this committee represents, which individuals and groups have supported their actions through financial contributions, and hidden the motive(s) for their support of higher taxes.

List of attached evidence or contact information where evidence may be found.

PDC file # 100806075 (12/31/2017)

Lack of any PDC filing since 12/31/2017

Campaign letters sent by MCAC (09/20/2019)

Photos of campaign sign paid for by MCAC (09/30/2019)

The letters were sent and the sign posted in support of Mead School Dist. Supplemental Enrichment and Operations Levy to be voted on in the upcoming general election (11/05/2019)

List of potential witnesses with contact information to reach them.

Chan Bailey
PO Box 307
Colbert, WA 99005
509.991.7637

Complaint Certification:

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that information provided with this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



LEGAL SCHOOLS

Paid for by Mead Citizens Advisory Committee



September 20, 2019

On June 7th, 2018, the Washington Supreme Court declared that, per the requirements of the 2012 McCleary decision, the state had finally met its constitutional duty to “make ample provision for the education of all children residing within its borders.”

But the increase in funding – some \$10 billion through 2020 – promised by the state came with a caveat: a limit on the amount of money districts can raise through local levies. For a third of Washington school districts, the loss of local levy funding led to significant budget shortfalls. Mead is one of those districts.

Ironically, a lawsuit meant to fix inequalities among school districts – a worthwhile goal, to be sure – has simply shifted those inequalities to other districts.

What does that mean for Mead?

It means that there's a difference between what you voted for in February 2018 and what we're able to fund. No, it's not fair. No, it's not right. But if we as a community don't do something about it, it won't be the state legislators responsible for this situation who will feel the effects of our under-funded schools. It'll be our kids.

During the 2019 legislative session, state lawmakers realized the consequences of their actions, and agreed to raise the limit on local levies from \$1.50 per \$1,000 of assessed value to \$2.50. While it *still* represents one of Mead's lowest levy rates in over 15 years, it does constitute an increase: a difference of about \$20 a month for the average homeowner (based on \$240,000 assessed value).

We're respectfully asking you to **make the difference.**

It's more than the difference between the old levy rate and the new. It's more than the difference between what the state promised and what it delivered. It's the difference between success – providing an outstanding education that positions our students for success – and failure. That's why we're taking November's Supplemental Enrichment Levy so seriously. And why we're asking, again, for your help. Please vote YES for Mead Schools this November 5.

Thank you,

Martin Kolodrub

Mead Citizens Advisory Committee

mcac

Mead Citizens Advisory Committee

Admin ✓
Nurses ✓

September 20, 2019

Chandler C Bailey
PO Box 307
Colbert WA 99005-0307

42 + 82 = 124
unk confirmed
33.8% 66.2%

FB = 5 in other Districts
9 Mead + 82

Dear Chandler,

On June 7th, 2018, the Washington Supreme Court declared that, per the requirements of the 2012 McCleary decision, the state had finally met its constitutional duty to "make ample provision for the education of all children residing within its borders."

= 91 = 73% + 2012

But the increase in funding – some \$10 billion through 2020 – promised by the state came with a caveat: a limit on the amount of money districts can raise through local levies. For a third of Washington school districts, the loss of local levy funding led to significant budget shortfalls. Mead is one of those districts.

Ironically, a lawsuit meant to fix inequalities among school districts – a worthwhile goal, to be sure – has simply shifted those inequalities to other districts.

What does that mean for Mead?

It means that there's a difference between what you voted for in February 2018 and what we're able to fund. No, it's not fair. No, it's not right. But if we as a community don't do something about it, it won't be the state legislators responsible for this situation who will feel the effects of our under-funded schools. It'll be our kids.

During the 2019 legislative session, state lawmakers realized the consequences of their actions, and agreed to raise the limit on local levies from \$1.50 per \$1,000 of assessed value to \$2.50. While it *still* represents one of Mead's lowest levy rates in over 15 years, it does constitute an increase: a difference of about \$20 a month for the average homeowner (based on \$240,000 assessed value).

We're respectfully asking you to **make the difference**.

It's more than the difference between the old levy rate and the new. It's more than the difference between what the state promised and what it delivered. It's the difference between success – providing an outstanding education that positions our students for success – and failure. That's why we're taking November's Supplemental Enrichment Levy so seriously. And why we're asking, again, for your help. Please vote YES for Mead Schools this November 5.

Thank you,

Martin Kolodrub

Martin Kolodrub

Mead Citizens Advisory Committee

Incumbent Olson
Elect Hatcher
Incumbent Wylder
Elect Cannon

PO Box 854, Mead, WA 99021